

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FI       | LING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION N |
|---------------------------|----------|------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 10/730,208                | 1        | 2/08/2003  | Jeanette M. Cardamone | 0043.03             | 2504           |
| 25295                     | 7590     | 09/12/2005 |                       | EXAMINER            |                |
| USDA, AR                  | •        | T.         | KHAN, AMINA S         |                     |                |
| 5601 SUNN<br>RM 4-1159    | YSIDE AV | /E         | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER        |                |
| BELTSVILLE, MD 20705-5131 |          |            |                       | 1751                |                |

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/730,208  | CARDAMONE ET AL.               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·   | Amina Khan  | 1751                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address          |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 De</u>  | ecember 2003.   |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.                       |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | vn from consideration.  |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.   | epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj   | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   | ·                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:                                      |                                |  |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smets et al. (US Patent #6,541,438 B1) or Gardner et al. (US Publication #US 2003/0092598 A1) in view of Wagner et al. (US Patent #3,702,776).
- 3. The primary reference of Smets et al. teaches methods of contacting fabrics with laundering solutions (column 35, paragraph 8, lines 1-7) to improve their anti-shrinkage properties (column 1, paragraph 7, lines 1-4) as claimed in claims 1. The primary reference further teaches laundering compositions comprising NaOH (column 46, example 11), hydrogen peroxide (column 26, paragraph 2, line 1), protease (optional) (column 20, paragraph 7, lines 1-5), sodium sulphite (column 31, paragraph 3, lines 1-4), triethanolamine (column 46, example 11) as claimed in claims 1,3,6, and 9, and Triton X-114 or X-100 (column 15, paragraph 1, lines 2-4) which meets the claimed limitation of non-ionic surfactants of the structural formulas claimed in claims 2,4,5,7,8, 10, 11 and 13. The primary reference further teaches compositions in which proteases may be substituted with non-protease enzymes (column 20, paragraph 7, lines 1-11) as claimed in claim 6 and bleaches such as dichloroisocyanurates (column 26, paragraph

Art Unit: 1751

4) may be substituted for by hydrogen peroxide (column 26, paragraph 2, line 1) as claimed in claim 12.

Page 3

- 4. The primary reference of Gardner et al. teaches processes for treating fabrics (page 6, paragraph 0078) to produce shrink-resistant and wrinkle-free textiles (page 1, paragraph 0002, lines 1-4). The Gardner reference further teaches treatments comprising dicyandiamide (page 2, paragraph 0022, lines 12-14) and polymers of polyacrylamides (page 4, paragraph 0053, lines 1-4) as claimed in claim 1 and 14.
- 5. The prior art does not teach gluconic acid as a component of the fiber treatments as claimed in claim 1. However, the prior art clearly suggests the use of polycarboxylates (Smets et al: column 27, paragraph 4, lines 1-3; Gardner et al: page 2, paragraph 0025, lines 1-5).
- 6. The secondary reference of Wagner et al. in the analogous art of processes for shrinkproofing wool (column 1, abstract) teaches textiles treated with compositions comprising gluconic acid (page 3, paragraph 3, line 7) as claimed in claim 1.
- Therefore, from the teachings of the secondary references one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify the primary references by incorporating the gluconic acid as taught and suggested by the secondary reference and would be expected to have similar properties. It is prima facie obvious to combine the teachings of the two references, each taught for the same purpose, to yield a third composition for that very purpose. *In re Kerkhoven*, 205 USPQ 1069, *In re Pinten*, 173 USPQ 801, and *In re Susi*, 169 USPQ 423 when ingredients are well known and combined for their known properties, the combination is obvious absent unexpected results. A person of

Application/Control Number: 10/730,208

Art Unit: 1751

ordinary skill in the hair dyeing art would expect combinations of these materials to behave in the same fashion as the individual materials, absent unexpected results.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amina Khan whose telephone number is (571) 272-5573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Amina Khan, PhD Patent Examiner August 30, 2005

NECHOLUS OGDEN PRIMARY EXAMINER